



D7

U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

Identification data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of privacy

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS
425 Eye Street N.W.
ULLB, 3rd Floor
Washington, D.C. 20536

FILE: EAC 00 137 53242 Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

Date: MAY 10 2002

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:

Petition: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(L) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(L)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

INSTRUCTIONS:


This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,
EXAMINATIONS


Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, California Service Center. The matter is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The appeal will be rejected as untimely filed.

The petitioner is a laundromat. It seeks to extend its authorization to employ the beneficiary temporarily in the United States as its president. The director determined that the petitioner had not established that the beneficiary would be employed in a primarily managerial or executive capacity.

8 C.F.R. 103.3(a)(2) states the following:

The affected party shall file an appeal on Form I-290B. Except as otherwise provided, in this chapter, the affected party must pay the fee required by section 103.7 of this part. The affected party shall file the complete appeal including any supporting brief with the office where the unfavorable decision was made **within 30 days** after service of the decision.

The decision of the Associate Commissioner was entered on September 27, 2000. The petitioner made an initial attempt to file an appeal on October 26, 2000 and a subsequent attempt on November 17, 2000. After each attempt, the petitioner was notified that the appeal was being rejected because it had not been properly signed. The appeal was received with the proper signature and filing fee on December 8, 2000, more than 60 days after the denial was issued. The appeal was untimely filed and therefore must be rejected.

ORDER: The appeal is rejected as untimely filed.